1	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney	
2 3	DAVID R. CALLAWAY (CABN 121782) Chief, Criminal Division	
4 5	SARAH HAWKINS (CABN 257723) Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495 Telephone: (415) 436-7126 Fax: (415) 436-7207 Email: Sarah.Hawkins@usdoj.gov	
6		
7 8		
9	Attorneys for United States of America	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) CASE NO. CR- 15-194 -JST
14	v.) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER) EXCLUDING TIME FROM OTHERWISE) APPLICATION SPEEDY TRIAL ACT) CALCULATION & REQUEST FOR) CONTINUANCE OF STATUS CONFERENCE
15	ASHLEY CEDRICK SMITH,	
16	Defendant.	
17		,
18	<u>STIPULATION</u>	
19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by the parties, through undersigned counsel, that:	
20	1. The parties appeared before the Court on May 8, 2015 at 9:30 a.m. for a status hearing.	
21	Mr. Smith was present and represented by Deputy Public Defender Joyce Leavitt. Assistant United	
22	States Attorney Sarah Hawkins appeared for the Government. The parties requested a continuance of	
23	the matter, with time excluded for effective preparation of counsel.	
24	2. Accordingly, with the parties' agreement as to the new date, the Court scheduled another	
25	status hearing for June 5, 2015 9:30 a.m., with the understanding that the parties would submit a	
26	Stipulation and Proposed Order excluding time.	
27	3. The parties now formalize their request for exclusion of time in this matter and respectfully	
28	submit and agree that the period from May 8, 2015 through and including June 5, 2015 should be	
	STIP. AND ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 15-194 JST	I

Case 4:15-cr-00194-JST Document 12 Filed 05/28/15 Page 2 of 3

excluded from the otherwise applicable Speedy Trial Act computation because the continuance is 1 2 necessary for effective preparation of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 3 4. The parties concur that granting the exclusion would allow the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of counsel and continuity of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The 4 5 parties also agree that the ends of justice served by granting such an exclusion of time for the purposes 6 of effective preparation of counsel outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a 7 speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). 8 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 9 DATED: May 27, 2015 MELINDA HAAG 10 **United States Attorney** 11 12 SARAH HAWKINS 13 Assistant United States Attorney 14 15 DATED: May 27, 2015 16 JOYCE LEAVITT Counsel for the Defendant 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Based upon the above-described Stipulation, THE COURT FINDS THAT the ends of justice served by granting a continuance from May 8, 2015 through and including June 5, 2015 outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, and that failure to grant such a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

Accordingly, THE COURT ORDERS THAT the period from May 8, 2015 through and including June 5, 2015 is excluded from the otherwise applicable Speedy Trial Act computation, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B)(iv).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: May 28, 2015

HON. JON S. TIGAR

United States District Judge